

Planning

#### Reclassification and rezoning of land for the purposes of a health services facility Reclassification and rezoning of land for the purposes of a health services facility Proposal Title : **Proposal Summary :** Proposed amendment to Nambucca LEP 2010 by way of: 1. Amend Schedule 4 to reclassify part Lot 1 DP 1004209 from 'community' to 'operational' land to allow for future disposal. 2. Rezone part Lot 1 DP 1004209 and part Lot 22 DP 1161807 from RE1 Public Recreation to SP2 Infrastructure to provide future use of the land for the purposes of a 'health services facility'. PP 2013 NAMBU 003 00 13/12667 PP Number : Dop File No : **Proposal Details** Date Planning 30-Jul-2013 LGA covered : Nambucca Proposal Received : RPA : Nambucca Shire Council Northern Region : Section of the Act : OXLEY State Electorate : 55 - Planning Proposal Reclassification LEP Type : **Location Details** Street : **Centenary Parade** Suburb : Citv : Nambucca Heads Postcode : 2448 Part Lot 1 DP 1004209 and Part Lot 22 DP 1161807 Land Parcel : **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : Luke Blandford 0266416614 Contact Number : Contact Email : luke.blandford@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Grant Nelson** 0265680248 Contact Number : grant.nelson@planning.nsw.gov.au Contact Email : **DoP Project Manager Contact Details James Clark** Contact Name : Contact Number : 0266416604 Contact Email : jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : N/A N/A Release Area Name : Mid North Coast Regional Regional / Sub Consistent with Strategy : Yes **Regional Strategy :** Strategy

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	1	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	Section 27 of the Local Government Act provides that the classification or reclassification of public land occurs by means of a local environmental plan. Part of this site is being reclassified to allow its disposal and subsequent use for a purpose other than recreation. Section 29 of the Local Government Act provides that a public hearing is required as part of this process.		

# Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the Planning Proposal, which is to reclassify the land to allow for its sale and to rezone it to permit the establishment and use of a 'health services facility'.

# Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :	The Planning Proposal provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes, which includes: 1. Reclassify part Lot 1 DP 1004209 by inclusion in Schedule 4, Part 2 (interests changed). 2. Amendment to the Land Zoning Map of part Lot 1 DP 1004209 and part Lot 22 DP 1161807 from RE1 Public Recreation to SP2 Infrastructure (Health Services Facility).
	Lot 22 DP 1161807 is already operational land and therefore no reclassification is required to this portion of the site.
	The site currently comprises an area of approximately 2,800m2. Council has noted however that the final extent of land to be reclassified and rezoned will be defined once the final design of the future proposed health services facility is determined. This will be determined as part of a DA process. In this regard the final Planning Proposal and DA should be publicly exhibited together in accordance with Part 3, Division 4B of the EP&A Act 1979.

eclassification and r	ezoning of land for th	ne purposes of a health services facility
Justification - s55 (	2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the I	Director General? Yes
b) S.117 directions ider		1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	r General's agreement	<ul> <li>1.4 Oyster Aquaculture</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.2 Coastal Protection</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> </ul>
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?	Yes
c) Consistent with Stan	idard Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other	In addition to the SI	EPPs listed by the RPA, the following SEPPs also apply:
matters that need to be considered :	The North Coast Re is considered gener create land suitable is located within pro	nal Environmental Plan. egional Environmental Plan (NCREP) is a deemed SEPP. The proposal rally consistent with the provisions of this SEPP given that it seeks to e for a 'health services facility' in an existing urban area, and which oximity to other community facilities and accessible by regular rvices (Section 61 of the NCREP).
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? Yes
lf No, explain :	relevant SEPPs exc Direction 4.1 Acid S	nsidered consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy, all cept for SEPP 55 and all applicable Section 117 Directions except Sulfate Soils, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and 6.2 Reserving rposes. These inconsistencies are discussed under 'assessment' of t.
Mapping Provided -	- s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	Yes	
Comment :	extent of the land to the future health se	adequately identify the location of the site. As noted above, the final o be reclassified and rezoned will be defined once the final design of prvices facility is determined. Council has indicated that the proposal exhibited until the full extent of the site is known.
		t Council should provide maps which identify both the current and r the site as part of any future exhibition package.
	which comply with will be prepared for maps;	ed maps which indicate the land to be reclassified and rezoned, the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps' the making of the LEP. This will include revision to the following
	1. Land Use Zone M 2. Land Reclassifica	lap ation (Part Lot) Maps

# Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The Planning Proposal has indicated a 28 day public exhibition/community consultation period, given that the proposal relates to a reclassification of land. Council has also confirmed that a public hearing for the reclassification will be conducted. It is considered appropriate that this public hearing be undertaken following the conclusion of the exhibition period.

## **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes.
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the Planning
	Proposal to achieve the outcomes.
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal.
	<ol><li>Outlining a proposed community consultation program including public exhibition and a public hearing.</li></ol>
	5. Providing a project timeline which suggests completion within 6 months. It is noted that the Governor's approval will be required to alter an existing interest applying to Lot 1 DP 1004209. Given a public hearing is required, and that the Governor's approval is
	also required, 9 months is considered more appropriate. 6. Completing the evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions. In
	view of the Governor's involvement delegation of plan making functions is therefore not appropriate in the case.

# Proposal Assessment

### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relationThe Nambucca (Principal) LEP 2010 was notified in July 2010. This Planning Proposal seeksto Principal LEP :amendment to the Nambucca LEP 2010.

# **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	Since May 2012, Council, in collaboration with the Mid North Coast Local Health District, has reviewed a number of potential sites throughout the Nambucca Heads area for the establishment of a health facility that could be established and utilised to assist improved community health outcomes.
	In March 2013, Council resolved to support the making of the subject land available for the establishment and use as a health services facility. Council also provided support to the offering of the site for acquisition by the Health District subject to the completion of the required rezoning, reclassification and development assessment processes.
	The reclassification of the land from community to operational is required to allow the transfer of the site to the Mid North Coast Local Health District and is generally consistent with the broader State and Local policies as discussed in detail below.
	The level is also nervined to be remained to remain actuallishment and use of the site for the

The land is also required to be rezoned to permit establishment and use of the site for the

purposes of a health services facility. Council has sought an SP2 Infrastructure (Health Services Facility) zone for the land.

It is noted that the land could be rezoned as R1 General Residential Zone and a health services facility would be permissible on the site in accordance with the Infrastructure SEPP, given that R1 is a prescribed zone. However, given that the site is predominantly surrounded by land which is zoned for recreational purposes and separated from any residential land by way of a local road, an SP2 zone is considered appropriate in the circumstances. This land use zone will also provide certainty for the local residents that the site is to be sold and used for the purposes of a health services facility.

The proposed amendment to the LEP is the most appropriate means of achieving the outcome for the proposal and will result in a community benefit through the creation of jobs and improved access to health services and facilities.

### Reclassification and rezoning of land for the purposes of a health services facility

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

### Mid North Coast Regional Strategy

The site is located within the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy growth area boundary and is generally consistent with the objectives of this Strategy.

#### **State Environmental Planning Policies**

The proposal is consistent with the provisions of all applicable SEPPs relevant to the site, except for SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land. This inconsistency is considered to be minor and justified, as detailed below.

#### SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

The presence of contaminated soils is currently unknown. The land has historically been used for recreational purposes and therefore the potential for contamination is low. Notwithstanding, SEPP 55 is applicable. If required, Council is to prepare an initial site contamination investigation report to demonstrate that the site is suitable for rezoning to the proposed zone. This report is to be included as part of the public exhibition material.

#### Section 117 Directions

The proposal is considered to be generally consistent with all relevant s117 Directions, except for Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes. These inconsistencies are considered to be minor and justified, as outlined below.

#### 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The land is identified to contain Class 5 acid sulfate soils in accordance with Council's mapping and therefore an Acid Sulfate Soils Assessment and Management Plan is required in accordance with this Direction. An Acid Sulfate Soils Assessment and Management Plan does not form part of the Planning Proposal package and therefore the proposal is inconsistent with this Direction. The Nambucca LEP 2010 contains adequate provisions to ensure that the site is properly managed in relation to acid sulfate soils at the development application stage. The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be minor and justified.

#### 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Lot 1 DP 1004209 is identified to encompass bushfire prone land in accordance with Council's Bushfire Prone Land Maps. Consultation with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service is therefore required and has not yet been undertaken. Consistency with this Direction is therefore currently unresolved. It is noted that the land to be excised from Lot 1 DP 1004209 and to be utilised for the health services facility generally sits outside of this identified bushfire prone land and that the land surrounding the site is predominantly cleared grasslands. It is therefore unlikely that the future use of the site as a health services facility would be detrimentally impacted by bushfire.

#### 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

The proposal seeks to reduce an existing reservation of land for public purposes without the approval of the Director General. The proposal is therefore inconsistent with this Direction. The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be minor given the nature of the proposal; that it is a proposal involving State-funded local health benefits; that the land is disused and that it will not impact on recreational opportunity within the surrounding reserve.

#### Nambucca 2023 Community Strategic Plan

The proposal is consistent with the strategies and goals of the Nambucca 2023 Community Strategic Plan, namely 'support public health initiatives which will benefit the residents of Nambucca Valley (Goal 3.3.1)'.

#### EJ Biffin Plan of Management 2010

The site is located within the EJ Biffin Public Reserve area which is managed under Council's 'EJ Biffan Plan of Management 2010'. The land subject to this proposal previously encompassed tennis courts. These tennis courts have been removed and the land is noted to be 'disused' under the Plan of Management. Council is currently reviewing a master plan concept for the upgrade of the EJ Biffan

	Reserve. The disposal and use of this land for a here incorporated as part of this master plan review.	alth services facility has been	
	Other Matters The Planning Proposal has been prepared in accor classification and reclassification of public land thr the department's 'A guide to preparing local enviro	ough a local environmental plan' and	
	<ol> <li>The proposal is not the result of a strategic study</li> <li>The proposal is consistent with Council's commons</li> <li>That all interests in the land to be reclassified with</li> <li>Council is the landowner</li> </ol>	unity strategic plan	
Environmental social economic impacts :	The potential for environmental impact associated with the construction and use of the health services facility will be reviewed as part of any future DA. Notwithstanding, it is considered that any potential for environmental impact is minor given that the site is cleared. Acid sulfate soils and potential contamination management could be considered at development application stage. The potential for impact by bushfire is considered to be minor. Council has advised that sufficient capacity exists in the local utility infrastructure to service the future health facility.		
	The use of the site for a health services facility would promote significant social impacts through job opportunity and improved access and availability of health services. Appropriate design review will be undertaken at DA stage to ensure the health facility does not impact recreational opportunity on the surrounding public reserve.		
	through job opportunity and improved access and Appropriate design review will be undertaken at DA	availability of health services. A stage to ensure the health facility	
ssessment Proces	through job opportunity and improved access and Appropriate design review will be undertaken at DA does not impact recreational opportunity on the su	availability of health services. A stage to ensure the health facility	
	through job opportunity and improved access and Appropriate design review will be undertaken at DA does not impact recreational opportunity on the su	availability of health services. A stage to ensure the health facility rrounding public reserve.	
SSESSMENT Proces Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP :	through job opportunity and improved access and Appropriate design review will be undertaken at DA does not impact recreational opportunity on the su SS Routine Community Consu	availability of health services. A stage to ensure the health facility rrounding public reserve.	

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes

If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

### Other - provide details below

If Other, provide reasons :

Council is to ensure that the Planning Proposal satisfies the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 55 – Remediation of Land. If required Council is to prepare an initial site contamination investigation report to demonstrate that the site is suitable for rezoning to the proposed zone. The report is to be included as part of the public exhibition material.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

# Reclassification and rezoning of land for the purposes of a health services facility

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? Yes

If Yes, reasons :

The proposal seeks to facilitate the establishment of a health services facility on the site that would be joint funded by both Commonwealth and the State. Council, in collaboration with the Mid North Coast Local Health District, will be applying for this funding.

# Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Planning Proposal Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Information Checklist Attachment 1.pdf	Proposal	No
Information Checklist Attachment 4.pdf	Proposal	No
2013-03-28 Council meeting.pdf	Proposal	Yes

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : **Recommended with Conditions** 

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries</li> <li>1.4 Oyster Aquaculture</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.2 Coastal Protection</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	6.3 Site Specific Provisions The Planning Proposal should proceed as a "routine" Planning Proposal.
	<ul> <li>The Director-General's delegate should agree that the inconsistencies with s117</li> <li>Directions 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes and the unresolved inconsistency with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection are of minor significance. In relation to Direction 4.4, consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service should be undertaken prior to public exhibition (as recommended below).</li> <li>The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:</li> <li>1. Prior to undertaking exhibition, maps which identify both the current and proposed zones for the site under the Nambucca LEP 2010 should be prepared and form part of any future exhibition package.</li> </ul>
	2. Council is to ensure that the Planning Proposal satisfies the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 55 – Remediation of Land. If required Council is to prepare an initial site contamination investigation report to demonstrate that the site is suitable for rezoning to the proposed zone. The report is to be included as part of the public exhibition material.
	3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the Planning Proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide

	to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2012).
	4. Consultation is required with the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) as per the
	requirements of s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. No other consultation
	is required under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act. RFS is to be provided with a copy of the Planning Proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to
	comment on the proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to
	into consideration any comments made by RFS prior to undertaking exhibition.
	5. A public hearing is required to be held into the matter in accordance with the Section
	29 of the Local Government Act and the department's practice note PN009-003, given that
8	the Planning Proposal involves a reclassification of land from community to operational.
	6. Council is to prepare amended maps for the Section 59 submission that are compliant
	with the Departments Standard technical requirements for LEP maps. The following maps
	are to be amended:
	(a) Land Use Zone Map (b) Land Bealessification (Port Lat) Mapo
	(b) Land Reclassification (Part Lot) Maps
	7. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the
	date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The recommended conditions to the Gateway are required to provide adequate consultation and accountability.
Signature:	
	JIM CLARK Date: 1 August 2013